

The Rockefeller Oracle has Spoken

BY JOHN M. HENSHAW

THE ORACLE has spoken—namely, the Council of Foreign Relations (CFR) that was originally set up by Jacob Schiff and his partners in Kuhn, Loeb & Co., who also originally launched and bankrolled Leon Trotsky and the Bolshevik Revolution.

Ever since, the CFR has championed various forms of Soviet-American alliances. In recent years, the Rockefeller family “philanthropic” funds have been the principal financial angel of CFR, and now the titular head of CFR is David Rockefeller, Chairman of the Board of Chase Manhattan Bank and brother of Vice-President Nelson Rockefeller.

The house organ of CFR is *Foreign Affairs*. In a recent article *Foreign Affairs* published an alarmist report of how Arab oil countries and the other members of the oil-exporting cartel will be sucking \$100 billion a year during the rest of the 1970 decade, bankrupting even the richest Western industrial nations, including the U.S. and Germany, and also Japan. *Foreign Affairs* paints a dismal picture of the bankruptcy of industrial nations, followed by revolutions.

The same oracle, through another mouthpiece, Richard H. Ullman, director of studies at the CFR and professor of international law at Princeton, has called for “an absolutely unambiguous American commitment [to Israel]—one,

perhaps including the stationing of American forces” to prevent a new round of fighting.

Another CFR spokesman, George Ball, former Under-Secretary of State in the Kennedy and Johnson administrations and now President of Lehman Brothers International, a Jewish international investment banking firm, in an article in the *Atlantic Monthly* advocates joint U.S.-Soviet patrols for the Middle East:

“... before the Middle East once more goes up in flames we had better (...) test an assumption implicit in our talks about detente that the Soviets would really like to see a stable Middle East, provided they could play a part in bringing it about.”

Ball calls on the Soviet Union to join the United States

“in developing a settlement of the Arab-Israeli issue and in guaranteeing that settlement, possibly with joint Soviet-American patrols of the buffer areas (...) Whether the Soviet Union would be willing to participate in such a common effort cannot be predicted with assurance, but we should certainly try out the proposal...”

Ball writes that, during the October 1973 Arab-Israeli war, the Soviets, in a note addressed to the U.S. Government, suggested a combined U.S.-Soviet military intervention in the Middle East. A positive response today, Ball writes, would be:

"a solid test of the sincerity and significance of detente. From the Soviet's point of view, such a joint operation would provide one more bit of proof that they are a super-power coequal with America—a recognized status they are extremely anxious to achieve (. . .) the existence of Soviet elements in a joint buffer patrol would not add much weight to their existing presence. Nor would such an arrangement be wholly unprecedented; after all. Soviet-American participation in combined patrols worked successfully in Vienna for many years (. . .) The major nations of the world have an obligation not to stand passively by while a new war flames in one of the world's most sensitive and strategic areas."

Ullman's and Ball's proposals are part of a mushrooming debate about what to do to avert a new round of fighting in the Middle East and also to prevent a general bankruptcy of the oil-importing industrial nations, including the United States.

Soviet-American Guarantee

Another Rockefeller front, the Trilateral Commission, composed of influential businessmen from Europe, the United States, Canada and Japan, echoing what former Senate Foreign Relations Committee Chairman J. William Fulbright (D-Ark.) has long advocated, has called for a Soviet-American guarantee as a means of facilitating a Middle East settlement. Incidentally, Fulbright is a charter member of the CFR. He is also an influential backer of Secretary of State Henry A. Kissinger, a protege of Nelson Rockefeller.

Here all these hitherto dissonant voices of variegated liberal groups join in a chorus in calling for Soviet-American cooperation in this crucial Middle Eastern situation.

The flow into Arab coffers of tens of billions of petrodollars has created a sense of euphoria among the Arab

leaders and with the purchase of highly sophisticated foreign armament they are floating on cloud nine and feel capable of a fifth round of confrontation with the Israelis. And time is running out for Egyptian President Anwar Sadat to show ability and success in harnessing American might for a diplomatic victory at the conference table in the ever-receding Geneva Peace Conference that would force the Israelis to surrender the Sinai Peninsula.

Israeli Economic Crisis

On the other hand, Prime Minister Yitzhak Rabin of Israel is facing an economic crisis of unprecedented severity. The Israeli pound has been devaluated 43% overnight; financial institutions in Israel are crumbling and there is a run-away inflation that leaves practically everyone poor. On top of that, Israeli taxes, plus compulsory purchases of Government bonds are taking 87% of the wages and salaries of Israeli citizens.

There is great dissension and disenchantment in Israel with the whole Zionist scheme. What had been billed as the land of milk and honey has turned out to be, instead, a country of thankless toil and poverty. This disenchantment is evidenced by the fact that many Russian Jews who recently emigrated to Israel are now seeking re-entry into Soviet Russia, while others are stranded in Vienna or Berlin, unwilling to proceed to Israel. Israeli official figures for 1974 show that immigration of Soviet Jews to Israel dropped 50% and immigration of Soviet Jews to the United States doubled. The morale of the Israeli soldiers has sunk to an all-time low. The only way that the Rabin regime can hold power is through scaring the rank-and-file Jew with the notion that the whole Jewish nation is facing annihilation.

The internal political pressures against both Sadat and Rabin to take

military action to avert rebellion is at least one reason why the Rockefeller-controlled Council of Foreign Relations is pressing for a deal with the Kremlin.

Significantly, George Ball has revealed for the first time that the Soviets had suggested a joint Soviet-American patrol of the Middle East flare points, mainly because they want to be seen by all-and-sundry as

the co-equals of the United States in the determination of the fate of the Middle East. Actually, the ultimate purpose is the partition of the Arab oil-rich lands in the Middle East among Soviet Russia, Israel and Iran.

This scheme was predicted in THE AMERICAN MERCURY Winter 1968, in an article entitled *Israel's Grand Design* written by this reporter.

An Economic Emancipation

(Continued from Page 33)

speedily be sent to languish in jail for quite an extended sojourn!

Not content with attaining control over the money issue, FRS took away our constitutionally-guaranteed right to own and deal in *gold coin* in 1934; our right to own and deal in *silver coin* in 1965 (Silver Coinage Act); and completed control over the *entire economy* through passage of the Credit Control Act of 1969.

Do you realize just how much money one billion dollars is? If you gave your wife that much and told her to go out and spend it and not to return home until she HAD spent it all, if she spent it at the rate of \$1,000 a day, you would not see her for 2,667 years! That is only ONE billion dollars; we are discussing *hundreds of billions* of dollars that are being taken from you by a rapacious government and IRS (the private collection agency for the FRS), merely to enable the federal Executive to play Big Daddy to the world.

The same cabal which enabled the passage of the 1913 Federal Reserve Act (Title 12 USC, paragraphs 221, et seq.), also managed to involve us in two costly world wars, forcing us to borrow the money from the international banking cartels, at usurious interest.

The national debt jumped almost 8 times, the interest over 25 times in the 1917-1919 period. It jumped over 5 times, the interest over 3 times in the 1941-1945 period. It now stands at over \$493.1 billions, the interest at over \$18.4 billions!

The Personal Federal Income Tax (over 84% of which is paid by those earning between \$6,000 and \$25,000 a year) now stands at over \$92.3 billions for 1973 alone . . . *almost one half of all federal receipts for that year*. The end is not even in sight, yet.

No Constitutional Authority

The 1973 Federal Budget stood at over \$313.5 billions of which figure *it can be demonstrated that over \$239.7 billions are being spent by agencies and on programs for which NO constitutional authorization exists!* Meanwhile, you and I are being taxed in OUR daily necessities (food, clothing, rent and drink) merely to enable government to *socialize the world!*

The passage of the 16th Amendment enables all of this to continue. It was foisted off onto the public through guile, lies, treachery, deceptions and chicanery. Thus it stands as an unconstitutionality: *null and void for any purpose whatever.*

The passage of the FRS Act was instituted in the same underhanded, cabalistic manner. It, *too*, stands as unconstitutional.

The Founding Fathers did not word the Constitution in an esoteric manner; they realized that the *common man* would have to comprehend its intents and principles, *as laid down by the Founding Fathers*. Article I, Section 8, Paragraph 5, of that document grants only to the Congress the "*power to coin money, to regulate its value, and of foreign coin*". The sovereign citizen did *not* grant this power to be re-delegated to another agency, public or private. This Article has never been repealed or amended by the sovereign citizens . . . *it still stands as law*.

Only Congress Has Power

Congress was granted the power to regulate commerce; *no private agency* was (so) granted that power. FRS then is conducting our commerce in violation of that dictum . . . creating deliberate balance-of-trade deficits which must be made up by the taxpayers of this nation.

What can be done about it? Inform yourselves; then act. Demand a return to Constitutional principles by government; force the Congress to repudiate the Debt, dissolving the FRS and the Open Market Committee that regulates our commerce; force the Executive to return to, and

adhere to, *only those expenditures that are authorized by the Constitution*. There is no moral or legal reason for us to be encumbered with a debt even unto the next 15 generations!

Since this IS a "Christian Nation", pursuant to Supreme Court ruling on 28 February, 1892 (Holy Trinity Church vs U.S.; 143 US 471), let's remove from all positions of power and policy *any and all who refuse to abide by Christian ethics and morals*. There is no legal or moral obligation incumbent upon us to continue un-Americanism in public, tax-paid offices.

Demand of candidates for public office their views on the continual and continuous spending that is breaking us. If they fail or refuse to commit themselves, without mental reservations, then do not vote for them. We need men who hold the Constitution and the best interests of this nation in first place!

We need control over our *own* destinies; and, to get and retain that control, we must force a return of our power (through the elected members of Congress) to issue and regulate the value of *our own national money*. All reforms await MONEY reforms!

Get cracking, chum . . . you don't really have too much time left to you! You can be a *free man* or an *economic slave* . . . the choice is yours .

It's A Crime Problem

(Continued from Page 42)

America does not need any more gun control laws. What it desperately does need is a system to provide fast, just and certain punishment for crimes of violence; an end to the vicious cycle of plea bargaining and excessive continuances; and a restoration of capital punishment. In

addition, there is a need for stiff minimum mandatory consecutive penalties for crimes of violence to serve as a powerful deterrent to those individuals who, in their absence, lack the motivation and discipline to adhere to the rules of civilized behavior.